Dealing with Heterogeneity in a Fully Reliable Multicast Protocol

Moufida Maimour and C. D. Pham

LIP of ENS-Lyon, France

Contents

- Introduction
- A Receiver-based Replicated Scheme
- Examples
- Simulation Results
- Conclusion

Introduction

Heterogeneity in Multicast

- A multicast session involves many receivers which can have different capacities.
- To accommodate receivers' heterogeneity:
 - Single-rate multicast: the transmission rate is adapted in response to the most congested receiver.
 - Multi-rate multicast: the source transmits with multiple different rates.
- Multi-rate multicast improves receivers' satisfaction

Multi-rate Multicast

Layered Multi-rate Multicast RLM [Jacobson96], RLC [Vicisano98], FLID-DL [Byers00]

- Data is encoded into a number of layers that can be incrementally combined.
- Every layer is sent on a separate multicast address.
- Receivers join and leave groups depending on their needs

Replicated Multi-rate Multicast DSG [Jiang00]

- The source transmits multiple copies of the same data at different rates
- A receiver needs to subscribe to only one group

A Receiver-based Replicated Scheme

A Receiver-based Replicated Scheme

- Data replication is performed by some designated receivers "replicators" instead of the source.
- These replicators are designated through the execution of a partitioning algorithm where the receivers are split into subgroups of similar capacities.
- A receiver from a fast subgroup is chosen as the replicator for a subgroup of a slower capacity.
- In this way, a *regulation tree* is built with the source as the root and the replicators as intermediate nodes.

A Receiver-based Replicated Scheme

- The partitioning algorithm can be executed at the source or at the routers.
- Performing the partitioning by the routers:
 - allows for more scalability even with a small number of subgroups.
 - allows for the construction of a regulation tree which is close to the multicast distribution tree.

Regulation Tree Construction [Maimour:HSNMC03]

Require: N > 1 and a < b $P_0 \leftarrow \{l_j, j = 1, ..., N\}$, the set of all the links downstream. **Periodically**,

if
$$\exists j, l_j \in P_0$$
 such that $\Delta \dot{\tau}_j > b$ then
 $P_i \leftarrow \{l_j \in P_0, \Delta \dot{\tau}_j > a\}$ and $P_0 \leftarrow P_0 - P_i$
 $Rep_i \leftarrow Best(P_0)$
if $i > 1$ then
 $Rep_{i-1} \leftarrow Best(P_i)$
end if
 $i \leftarrow i + 1$
end if

until no split is possible

Examples

Multicast Session Utility

• For receiver
$$R_i$$
: $U_i(r) = \frac{\min(r_i, r)}{\max(r_i, r)}$ [Jiang98]

A Multi-rate Multicast Session Utility

 $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_N\}$ is split into *K* subgroups $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_K\}$ with transmission rates g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_K , then

$$U(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_K) = \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{i,j} U_{i,j}(g_j)$$

subject to $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j} = 1$ where $\alpha_{i,j} \in [0,1]$ $\sum_j n_j = N$ where n_j is the number of the receivers in subgroup G_j . $U_{i,j}(g_j)$ and $\alpha_{i,j}$ are respectively the utility function and the weight associated to the *i*th receiver of the *j*th subgroup.

A Simple Example



 $P_0 = \{5, 6\}, P_1 = \{0, 1\}, P_2 = \{2, 3\}, P_3 = \{4\}$ U = 0.936 instead of 0.525

A Hierarchy of Routers





$$P_{0,1} = \{R_1, A2\}, P_{1,1} = \{R_2\}$$

$$P_{0,2} = \{R_4\}, P_{1,2} = \{R_3\} \implies P_0 = \{R_1, R_4, R_6\}, P_1 = \{R_2\}$$

$$P_{0,3} = \{R_6\}, P_{1,3} = \{R_5\} \implies P_2 = \{R_3\}, P_3 = \{R_5\}$$

 $U_a = 0.957$ instead of 0.301 and $rate_S = 10$ instead of 1.

Simulation Results

- ns simulator
- AMCA [Maimour:ISCC03] : Active-based Multicast Congestion Avoidance Algorithm.



Simulation Results (cont.)



(a) The throughput achieved by the two subgroups with $r_1 = r_2 = 0.9$ Mbps and $r_3 = r_4 = 0.5$ Mbps.

(b) Transmission rate of the source and the replicator.

Conclusion

- In order to accommodate heterogeneity, a receiver-based replicated scheme is proposed:
 - the replication burden is distributed among some receivers instead of overloading the source.
 - local subgroups are formed allowing for more scalability.
 - the regulation tree built is close to the multicast tree.
- The partitioning algorithm:
 - is simple, does not require a prior knowledge of the receivers' capacities,
 - performs an on-the-fly partitioning as soon as it receives feedback from the receivers.

Future Work

- Simulations have to be performed on more complex topologies.
- Dynamic behavior Evaluation of our approach where the receivers may change their capacities over time.